item ID Number	03036 Not Scannel
Author	Angleton, George M.
Corporate Author	
Raport/Article Title	Typescript: A Comparison of Organ Weight Data from Beachmice Inhabiting Areas Either Treated or Untreated with a Herbicide
Journal/Book Title	
Year	1974
Month/Day	November
Celor	
Number of Images	14
Descripton Notes	Contract F056117490182, Colorado State University

A COMPARISON OF ORGAN WEIGHT DATA FROM BEACHMICE INHABITING AREAS EITHER TREATED OR UNTREATED WITH A HERBICIDE

George M. Angleton

November 1974

College of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523

FOREWORD

This report was prepared by Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, under Contract No. F0561174-90182.

Dr. George M. Angleton, Associate Professor of Radiation Biology and Biostatistics, Colorado State University (CSU) was program manager at CSU for this research program.

Dr. Alvin L. Young was senior scientist and final program manager for the United States Air Force (USAF) for this contract. Dr. John W. Watters was the original program manager for the USAF. Dr. Louis F. Wailly was responsible for initiating the collaborative effort between CSU and the USAF.

ABSTRACT

Total body weights and organ weights for beachmice inhabiting an area previously sprayed with a herbicide were compared with weights of beachmice inhabiting an untreated level. No significant effects due to treatment were detected at the 0.05 level of significance.

Introduction

Vegetative growth was essentially removed from a test area following intense spraying of the area with a herbicide. Three years later vegetative growth was approaching pre-treatment levels. The beachmouse, <u>peromyscus polionotus</u>, was a natural inhabitant of the area prior to the treatment. It was also observed to be present during the recovery process. Accordingly, the beachmouse became an animal upon which studies could be performed in evaluating the hazards associated with the spraying of the herbicide.

Procedures

Mice were randomly trapped from each of two test areas. The first area was designated the control area. The second area which had been heavily sprayed with a herbicide in 1969 and 1970 was designated as the test areas. Specimens were collected in the years 1973 and 1974. All animals were necropsied with total body weights and organ weights being recorded.

The residual ecological impact of the herbicide treatment upon the inhabitants of the sprayed areas was, in part, evaluated by a comparison of the weights so obtained for inhabitants of the test area with those of the control area.

The resultant data are tabulated in Table 1 for the control animals and in Table 2 for the test animals. Tables 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 are analysis of variance tables which summarize the results of the analyses with respect to the cited mathematical models.

The respective hypotheses of no effects due to treatment, body weight or sex upon heart weight can not be rejected when tested at the 0.05 level of significance. The levels at which these effects become significant as given in Table 3 do not approach the 0.05 level.

In a similar manner hypotheses of no effect due to treatment, body weight or sex upon lung weight also have to be rejected according to their respective levels of significance as given in Table 4. In the case of the analysis of liver weight data as given in Table 5, the effect due to treatment is significant at the 0.08 level of significance but not at the 0.05 level. Hence, the hypothesis of no effect due to treatment is accepted, but with a slight degree of reservation. It is interesting to note the significant effects due to body weight, sex and year were simultaneously noted with the

levels of significance for these tests being less than 0.001.

The results of the analyses for the spleen weights are summarized in Table 6. As may be noted, there does not appear to be any significant effect due to treatment, or for that matter due to either body weight or sex. The results of the analyses for the kidney weights are summarized in Table 7. Again at the 0.05 level of significance there is no significant effect due to treatment or for that matter sex. However, there is a significant dependence of kidney weight on body weight.

The final analysis of variance table, Table 8, is used to test the hypothesis of no effect of treatment on total body weight. Again, there does not appear to exist any significant effect due to treatment. However, as might be expected, a significant effect due to sex differences does exist.

Summary

Total body weight and organ weights of beachmice randomly trapped from a control area were compared with those from a test area previously treated with a herbicide. No significant differences attributable to the herbicide treatment were detected for statistical tests conducted at the five percent (0.05) level of significance.

TABLE 1
BODY WEIGHT AND ORGAN WEIGHT DATA FOR CONTROL SUBJECTS. DATA FOR PREGNANT FEMALES AND FOR MICE WITH TOTAL BODY WEIGHTS LESS THAN 10 GRAMS ARE NOT LISTED.

	Body Weight		(Organ Weights ((mg.)	
<u>Sex</u>	gms-	<u>Heart</u>	<u>Lungs</u>	Liver	<u>Spleen</u>	<u>Kidney</u>
М	12.86	70		660		
M	11.90	70		750		
М	12.30	70		880		
M	10.44	100		540		
M	14.65	108	119	811	17	226
M	12.62	93	68	778	12	183
F	11.61	77	99	642	26	171
M	12.66	113	108	524	21	199
F	12.55	85	164	688	16	223
M	12.59	96	112	495	14	207
F	10.23	84	88	679	25	170
M	10.44	84	94	580	16	174
М	11.70	130	92	537	16	195
M	12.75	105	102	530	20	174
M	11.72	90	100	726	15	211
M	11.45	100	96	548	20	171
М	11.05	90	87	549	4	203
М	10.55	97	107	643	30	161
F	12.67	121	106	704	. 20	225
	M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	Sex Weight -gms- M 12.86 M 11.90 M 12.30 M 10.44 M 14.65 M 12.62 F 11.61 M 12.66 F 12.55 M 12.59 F 10.23 M 10.44 M 11.70 M 11.72 M 11.45 M 11.05 M 10.55	Sex -gms- Heart M 12.86 70 M 11.90 70 M 12.30 70 M 10.44 100 M 14.65 108 M 12.62 93 F 11.61 77 M 12.66 113 F 12.55 85 M 12.59 96 F 10.23 84 M 10.44 84 M 11.70 130 M 12.75 105 M 11.72 90 M 11.45 100 M 11.05 90 M 10.55 97	Sex -gms- Heart Lungs M 12.86 70 M 11.90 70 M 12.30 70 M 10.44 100 M 14.65 108 119 M 12.62 93 68 F 11.61 77 99 M 12.66 113 108 F 12.55 85 164 M 12.59 96 112 F 10.23 84 88 M 10.44 84 94 M 11.70 130 92 M 12.75 105 102 M 11.72 90 100 M 11.45 100 96 M 11.05 90 87 M 10.55 97 107	Sex -gms- Heart Lungs Liver M 12.86 70 660 M 11.90 70 750 M 12.30 70 880 M 10.44 100 540 M 14.65 108 119 811 M 12.62 93 68 778 F 11.61 77 99 642 M 12.66 113 108 524 F 12.55 85 164 688 M 12.59 96 112 495 F 10.23 84 88 679 M 10.44 84 94 580 M 11.70 130 92 537 M 12.75 105 102 530 M 11.45 100 96 548 M 11.05 90 </td <td>Sex -gms- Heart Lungs Liver Spleen M 12.86 70 660 M 11.90 70 750 M 12.30 70 880 M 10.44 100 540 M 14.65 108 119 811 17 M 12.62 93 68 778 12 F 11.61 77 99 642 26 M 12.66 113 108 524 21 F 12.55 85 164 688 16 M 12.59 96 112 495 14 F 10.23 84 88 679 25 M 10.44 84 94 580 16 M 11.70 130 92 537 16 M</td>	Sex -gms- Heart Lungs Liver Spleen M 12.86 70 660 M 11.90 70 750 M 12.30 70 880 M 10.44 100 540 M 14.65 108 119 811 17 M 12.62 93 68 778 12 F 11.61 77 99 642 26 M 12.66 113 108 524 21 F 12.55 85 164 688 16 M 12.59 96 112 495 14 F 10.23 84 88 679 25 M 10.44 84 94 580 16 M 11.70 130 92 537 16 M

TABLE 2, Page 1

BODY WEIGHT AND ORGAN WEIGHT DATA FOR TEST SUBJECTS. DATA FOR PREGNANT FEMALES AND FOR MICE WITH TOTAL BODY WEIGHTS LESS THAN 10 GRAMS ARE NOT LISTED.

		Body		(Organ Weights	(mg.)	
<u>Year</u>	<u>Sex</u>	Weight gms	<u>Heart</u>	Lungs	Liver	Spleen	<u>Kidney</u>
1973	M	12.59	100		450		***
1973	F	14.20	80		1150		
1973	М	11.50	30				
1973	М	11.36	110				
1973	F	15.43	70		1300		
1973	М	13.72	90		850		
1973	М	10.70	90		940		
1973	М	13.81	100		1300		
1973	F	14.59	80		1290		
1973	F	16.01	100		1450		
1973	М	10.48	70		760		
1973	M	12.16	90		570		
1973	M	13.50					
1973	F	10.00	80		560		
1973	F	10.79	100		1140		
1973	M	12.43	100		1150		
1973	F	13.93	80		1450		
1973	F	11.30	70		580		
1973	. М	11.28	80		800		
1973	М	12.45	80		930		

TABLE 2, Page 2

BODY WEIGHT AND ORGAN WEIGHT DATA FOR TEST SUBJECTS. DATA FOR PREGNANT FEMALES AND FOR MICE WITH TOTAL BODY WEIGHTS LESS THAN 10 GRAMS ARE NOT LISTED.

		Body		(Organ Weights ((mg.)	
<u>Year</u>	<u>Sex</u>	Weight -gms-	<u>Heart</u>	<u>Lungs</u>	Liver	<u>Spleen</u>	Kidney
1974	М	10.06	73	80	529	14	187
1974	M	13.63	97	112	696	11	196
1974	M	11.49	113	103	824	29	201
1974	М	12.25	97	124	696	16	234
1974	М	11.26	112	92	419	10	179
1974	F	15.57	111	90	926	17	216
1974	F	16.32	108	82	1044	55	241
1974	M	10.05	149	124	436	12	204
1974	F	12.25	114	121	737	11	197
1974	M	11.74	70	85	797	45	191
1974	M	11.09	84	81	635	9	174
1974	M	11.63	82	84	750	35	204
1974	M	10.61	102	151	645	17	174
1974	F	12.05	85	91	734	16	252
1974	M	12.07	92	96	902	28	232
1974	М	11.30	85	89	587	25	171
1974	M	12.21	75	80	847	58	173
1974	M	11.46	84	98	544	14	189

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE TABLE FOR HEART WEIGHTS FOR FIELD BEACHMOUSE DATA. DATA FOR PREGNANT FEMALES AND FOR MICE WITH TOTAL BODY WEIGHTS LESS THAN TO GRAMS EXCLUDED FROM THE ANALYSIS

Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Sum of Squares	Mean Squares	Test Statistic -F _s -	Level of Significance P{F>F _s }
Observations	56	486,160.0000			
Mode1	5	470,417.3081			
Mean Year Sex Body Wt. Treatment	1 1 1 1	467,383.1424 2,697.6582 1.0493 334.7756 0.6821	1.0493 1.0845 0.6821	0.003 1.085 0.002	0.957 0.304 0.963
Error	51	15,742.6919	308.68		

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE TABLE FOR LUNG WEIGHTS FOR FIELD BEACHMOUSE DATA.

DATA FOR PREGNANT FEMALES AND FOR MICE WITH TOTAL BODY WEIGHTS LESS THAN
10 GRAMS EXCLUDED FROM THE ANALYSIS

Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Sum of Squares	Mean Squares	Test Statistic -F _s -	Level of Significance P{F>F _s }
Observations	33	350,007.00			
Mode1	4	337,384.13			
Mean Sex Body Weigh Treatment	1 1 1 1	337,037.12 174.44 38.14 134.43	174.44 38.14 134.43	0.40 0.09 0.31	0.532 0.766 0.582
Error	29	12,622.87	435.27		

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE TABLE FOR LIVER WEIGHTS FOR FIELD BEACHMOUSE DATA.

DATA FOR PREGNANT FEMALES AND FOR MICE WITH TOTAL BODY WEIGHTS LESS THAN
10 GRAMS EXCLUDED FROM THE ANALYSIS

Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Sum of Squares	Mean Squares	Test Statistic -F _s -	Level of Significance P{F>F _s }
Observations	52	34,592,585.			·
Mode1	5	33,279,682.			
Mean Year Sex Body Weigh Treatment	1 1 1 1	31,125,642. 845,190. 483,959. 734,901. 89,989.	845,190. 483,959. 734,901. 89,988	30.256 17.325 26.308 3.22	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 0.0792
Error	47	1,312,903.	27,934		

TABLE 6

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE TABLE FOR SPLEEN WEIGHTS FOR FIELD BEACHMOUSE DATA.

DATA FOR PREGNANT FEMALES AND FOR MICE WITH TOTAL BODY WEIGHTS LESS THAN

10 GRAMS EXCLUDED FROM THE ANALYSIS

Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Sum of Squares	Mean Squares	Test Statistic -F _s -	Level of Significance P{F>F _s }
Observations	33	19,418.00			
Mode1	4	15,123.36			
Mean Sex Body Weigh Treatment	t 1 1 1	14,595.00 52.03 262.61 213.69	52.03 262.61 213.69	0.35 1.77 1.44	0.559 0.195 0.241
Error	27	4,294.64	148.09		

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE TABLE FOR KIDNEY WEIGHTS FOR FIELD BEACHMOUSE DATA.

DATA FOR PREGNANT FEMALES AND FOR MICE WITH TOTAL BODY WEIGHTS LESS THAN
10 GRAMS EXCLUDED FROM THE ANALYSIS

	grees of Freedom	Sum of Squares	Mean Squares	Test Statistic -F _s -	Level of Significance P{F>F _S }
Observations	33	1,594.832.			
Model	4	1,582,099.			
Mean Sex Body Weight Treatment	1 1 1	1,571,782. 3,010. 5,998. 1,309.	3,010 5,998 1,309	6.85 13.66 2.98	0.014 <0.001 0.095
Error	29	12,732.	439		

TABLE 8

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE TABLE FOR TOTAL BODY WEIGHTS FOR FIELD BEACHMOUSE DATA. DATA FOR PREGNANT FEMALES AND FOR MICE WITH TOTAL BODY WEIGHTS LESS THAN 10 GRAMS EXCLUDED FROM THE ANALYSIS

Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Sum of Squares	Mean Squares	Test Statistic -F _s -	Level of Significance P{F>F _S }
Observations	58	8,742.1315			
Mode1	4	8,630.5108			
Mean Year Sex Treatment	1 1 1	8,610.5303 2.3668 17.2892 0.3246	2.3668 17.2892 0.3246	1.1450 8.3642 0.1570	0.289 0.006 0.694
Error	54	111.6207	2.0671		